

“THE INFORMER” INTERVIEWS

EP. 327: THE INFORMER, PART 1

<https://www.InformerArchives.com/the-informer/interviews/07-the-informer-part-1/>

- **Vyzygoth:** I once again it's time for another segment on *From The Grassy Knoll*, and you can be assured you will be hearing this on [PascoRadio.org](#), [RemnantRadio.org](#), and for those of you who are listening locally in the eastern Pasco County area will be hearing it on [AM 1610 WDCX](#). This is **Vyz**, and with us for hopefully more than just this one show, is an individual who many of you who listen to the show have asked about, “Could it be possible that we would have him on?” I'll say that the individual is a little bit **tough** to get a hold of at times, but that was made a little bit **easier** by once again, some of the listeners. And so here with us today is an individual that you may know, and to whom we will refer to as “**The Informer.**” And **thank you very much** for visiting *The Grassy Knoll*. Are you with us?
- **The Informer:** Ah yeah, I'm here.
- Ha ha. Well, you've just been **introduced**, and the **show is all yours**. But honestly I'm gonna tell people, my man, that they can **read your writings**, if they don't already know about it, on [ATGPress.com](#) (that stands for “**Against The Grain**”). Once again that URL is ATG (it's “T” as in “Thomas”) at [ATGPress.com](#). Scroll down and you will see a link for “[The Informer](#)” and that's what I've called up right now on my computer.

"Those who say it cannot be done should not interfere with those of us who are doing it"© - S. Hickman

[Home](#)

[Against the Grain Press](#)
[Loss of Liberty Revealed](#)

[Working Tommy C.](#)

[Livid Leigh](#)

[Boilin' Ed](#)

[D. Tom](#)

[The Informer](#)

[Knowledge is Freedom](#)

[Privacy](#)

[Links](#)

[Court Case](#)

[Contact Us](#)

The Informer


The Informer is by profession a researcher of 40 years and worked for major 500 companies. He started the legal research into government as a whole in 1979 and went heavy into the taxation part, all phases, in 1981, and continues to this day. He is retired and uses his cognitive skills of 40 years to continue studying. His history research came about because of the government research that uncovered many inconsistencies in what people perceive to be true about government, but which is not. His inquiries and study led him to other historical researchers nationwide. These findings show governments are run by people other than the common man and woman of America and is not the "representative form" as people believe.

BANKING	GOVERNMENT	PHONE TAX
COMMON SENSE	TAXES	WAR/EMERGENCY POWERS
The Bar in America	SOCIAL SECURITY	Admiralty
	BOOKS BY THE INFORMER	Q & Answers

- Can I ask you first off though, I-Man: **what led you to start getting into this obviously underground realm of history that's the real stuff, but about which few people know?**
- Well, about **1983**, I was involved with the **Internal Revenue Service**, and whatnot, and I was following a lot of people: **Schiff** and all them. And I noticed that there was a lot of **people losing**, and **Schiff** was **losing**, and then they would be doing the same thing, only a different vein, coming back and **still losing**.
- Are you referring to **Irwin Schiff** and the **whole tax situation?**
- **Yes, yes.**
- **OK.**
- And so I figured **something had to be wrong**. And of course I was like the others: I believed in the **Constitution** and all this stuff, and couldn't figure out **why** the **judicial system** and **everything else** was so was **askew**, that it wasn't conforming to what everybody believes as to the Constitution, and rights, and whatnot. So, I said at that point... when I happened to be in a particular **appeal case**, and an attorney walked in and **presented to the court a loss of Schiff** that just happened **that day**, and I was of course arguing the **same** thing that Schiff was arguing. And at that point, the court gave me about **five minutes** and wanted a **response**, and I said, “I **couldn't** give you a response in 5 minutes.” So they **dismissed** the case.


That's what led me to say, “**There's got to be something deeper than what's going on, and I gotta go back in time and find out why this is happening today.**”

- Now, are you referring to the whole IRS situation. And if you do stay with us for other shows: you'll be able for sure to speak to especially some of that information regarding the IRS. You did want to start off, I think, at a place where most of us really do understand to a certain extent, **where Americans start**, and that is: **with Britain**. But, you're gonna take a **look at Britain and its connection to us**, in a bit of a **different** manner, are you not?
- Yeah. That's because, you see, I being a **basic researcher from major industries**, I was taught by, you know, **excellent** professors. Not as cool, but actually **hands-on** as to **how to do research**. And this is what got me into it. So I just **applied** all of my learned research and put it into **researching history**, and **government**, and **whatnot**. And that's what led me back to, oh, actually the **Middle Ages**, and then I come forward. I mean you could go all the way back further, but I figured the Middle Ages was far enough. That's the **8th to the 13th century**.
- Well, you indicated to me, did you not, and understandably so, I think most of us (who have at least had some world history will remember this name), that it goes right back to the **Code of Hammurabi**?
- Yeah the **Code of Hammurabi**. If anybody wants to go to the **library** (because they **can't** afford the 26 volume set of **Benedict on Admiralty**), major libraries **would** have it (small libraries would **not** have it). But the Law of Hammurabi was the **law of all the nations**, and every nation picked it up. And **this goes back to 2000 BC**. So the **Law of Hammurabi** was actually known and as "**The Law of Oleron**", "**The Rhodesian Law**", "**The Roman Law**", and what is interesting is the tie-in with **Admiralty** and **Uniform Commercial Code** of today. Because in **Hammurabi** that all the nations dealt with, was **admiralty, and maritime**, and that's what we have **in the country today**. So, it's a **big mismatch** of everything together, that people have a **hard time understanding**, if they're not a researcher. They usually have a **gut-reaction** and **knee-jerk** reaction, and they listen to **other people**, they listen to **government**. And of course in **all governments**: the **winner** is the one that **writes the history**. And this is the **problem**, is that: history has been written and **forced down** the peoples' throats **without** them giving a second thought about it. They just say "Well, well, that's what it must be." And it's **not**.
- Let me ask you: you mentioned two things... But first I wanna just ask you: the **Code of Hammurabi**, that the fact that it's been borrowed from, or build upon, or extended through, so many centuries; **was it** necessarily a **good** form of **government**, or **law for the people**, **OR** has it always been an **elitist** type situation?
- It **wasn't** for the **people**, it **was** for the **law merchants**. And there is... like I say: if you can get **Benedict on Admiralty, the 7th edition**, and read the **first two volumes**: that will give you a **whole nut-shell** of what happened **from Hammurabi**. And in **Benedict**, in the **7th edition** (the newer editions I'm not sure). But each edition **loses** so much more of the real information. In fact, I have one of the **original books of 1850**, and all the **judges** worth anything (if they're worth anything) **have this book in their chambers**. And it was just so happened that I happened to get a copy of it over a period of a year, and it is **so easy** to understand the 1850 edition [**American Admiralty**], that when you pick up the **7th edition it's 26 volumes**. And the **original was one book**, approximately about 2 inches thick.
- Yeah. it doesn't seem to become any more 'common-person friendly' does it?



- No, no. So, actually it was made for the **people**: if the people were merchants, or involved with merchants, that they could use this law. But it **wasn't** like **God's Law**, it **was** just for **law merchants**... that's why they call it the "**law merchant**"... "**law of the law merchant**."
- But actually when we're looking at is a law that, kind of, is based upon **mercantilism**.
- **Yes, that's basically all it was.** And you gotta remember, in the old days, that's all the countries dealt with. And that's why they called it a "**maritime**" or "**admiralty**": because **everything was across the ocean**. And a *funny* thing about it is... well not really *funny*... but the *odd* thing is: that **all of the countries** (including Europe and Rome) used it **only** for the **mercantile**, and the **oceans**, and the **ivers**, and **whatnot**.

And then they had what they called a "**common law**", which was the **king's** common law, and it **wasn't** **God's** law. A lot of people misconstrue "common law." And when you pick up the book on "[The History of the American Bar](#)" by [Charles Warren](#), that will come out and tell you, and they have actual excerpts from the judges, and it's **not** a... it's a **history book** of **how the American bar was formed**. It **wasn't** anything on courts or anything like that (cases, actual cases of present day). But it actually shows that the common law was the **most hated law by the common man** in England. And everybody wants common law, and they cry for common law, and they **don't know** what they're really crying for.



- **Right.**
- But had they **read and researched?** This is why I thought about: the **knee-jerk reaction**. It's: someone said this, someone said that, and everybody believes it. But if the person would **actually** go back **into history**, and into the **books**, and spend **multitude of hours** of just **boring** stuff to go through... but people **don't** do that. They want **instant gratification**, they want **instant recognition**. Sometimes I would sit in libraries for a **whole week on one subject**, and at least put **6 to 8 hours**. Many times I **closed**. I **opened** up from the library, and they even had to **boot me out** of the library at 11:30 at night. And this is the **dedication** that you actually need to really... and what's going on, and **do not take people's word for it**.
- You've spoken well to what it takes to **research**. A lot of us out here will consider ourselves such... do want somewhat of instant gratification, or shall we say "hit a gold vein" with the first pick we put into the books. And it's **not** the way it happens. With the **diligence** that you've shown, and other people have also mentioned the same: it's **not** a sexy job. It takes a lot of **tedious work** to find out really what there is to be had. And I'll be honest with you, one of the reasons why I was happy to have you on, is 'cause **you did the wet-work**, to which we really **salute you**. And I'm glad you said what you did, because people who wanna go out there and find the truth, may be out there for **quite some time**. And do they have the **diligence**, and the **endurance**, and the **wherewithal**, to continue?
- Yeah, that's the **main problem** as I see it, and the **problem** is: is that **people never learn from history**. Because when I started in this about **1976** (when I won my first traffic case), one thing led to another. See, I was **like everybody**:
 - When you're **getting out of high school**: you're worried about **girls, running around**, and having a **good time**. You know? You're **not** worried about **government**. You're **not** worried about **anything**.
 - And then you know, say **from 20 to 25 or 26**, you're looking to **get married**, and **raise a family**, and you do.
 - And **then** your responsibility then is to **keep the family going** and **be the provider** for it.
 - So, by the time **you get to 40 years old**, which I was, and that's when I really realized that **something is wrong** with the **country**.

You know it's **rare** to find an **18 to 25 year old** that would wanna do what I did, or anybody like me. And go into the **library**, and sit there **boringly** for years at a time, going over everything. And all your **other friends are out there** having cars, and having a family, and carousing around, or whatever you want to do, you know? But, what I find is that the people of **my age group**, we're **dwindling** now. And we were I think, the **last** ones that had a **decent education**. And where we could then **apply what we knew**. I mean we got a **good history, geography, English, the use of the grammar**. And **today's kids**, they're just so **dumbed down** so much. Anybody under I'd say 40 years old, 45... 'cause I'm using **my kids** as a basis for this (my oldest is almost 50, and the youngest one is about 40). So when I brought my kids up I could see that, about **1965**, the education system started to go **downhill real fast**. And that's the **problem**, that is why you **don't** find these young kids (or anybody up to 35) going in and looking in the library, and reading, and understanding actually the words that are there. You can take five people and they can read the same passage and you'll get **five different interpretations** of that. And that is the **main problem** with today's so called "**patriot**." I **don't** like to use the word "**patriot**", because that's one who **supports the present regime**.

- Yeah, I mean, **labels are horrible** anyway. But, let me at least speak to what you said about the **education**, and of course you're absolutely right. And there's a number of books out there that do speak to the fact that the education was placed in the hands of one is such as **John Dewey, a Fabian socialist/communist**. And it was **all about training** in the end, and we're seeing that. So you're right: the **classical education** was **thrown to the wayside**, children are **disassociated** and **disaffected** from the **laws of the land**, and the **way things really were**, and what we're **supposed to be about**. So that they have **no connection** to that, and it'll be easier for them to let go. Because **obviously** what we're headed towards is a **one world government**.

You did mention something though I-Man, and I want to speak to it. And then we're going to get into the **core** of the matter that will **frame this first segment**. You did mention **Admiralty**. Now a lot of us, our ears pop up when we hear that. We think of **gold-fringed flags**, etc. etc. But really, there is something to the fact that what we're looking at in courts of law and such is that, yeah: **those flags are there for a purpose**. And if I'm saying this, if I'm wrong, please let me know. But: **Is it Admiralty law that's in the courthouse today?**

- Believe it or not: **yes**. And this is the basis and a lot of **myth** that goes into what the people are looking at today. There is a case, (and you also get this in *Benedict Admiralty*), but there is a case called the **United States vs Bevans** and this is **not** the *Bevins* case that is present. This goes back to **(3 Wheat.) 336**.
- How would you spell that last name?
- B-E-V-A-N-S
- OK thank you.
- I **won't** go through the whole case. It was in the **Supreme Court of the United States in 1818**. And the **highlight** of the case is... and then now let me go into: people are going to view what I say, as "**cognitive dissonance**", if you know what I mean. In other words, they're going to look at a **lie** they've been fed all their lives, which they **believe** to be true. Then when someone comes along and says the **truth**, that's where **cognitive dissonances** come in, and they will **not** believe the **truth**, and believe it to be a **lie**.
- Right, because it **doesn't match up** with what they've been told in their history books and textbooks, right?
- Now, **in this case it says** '*habeas* and **trial by jury is admiralty/maritime**, as it is **universal law**.' Now, the universal law goes back to the *Law of Hammurabi*. And it says that, in this particular case on **363 [correction: 364]**:

"That jurisdiction is exclusively vested in the United States courts... and therefore, the state court could not take cognisance of this offence."

Here they're talking about **maritime crime**. But it says:

"There is no doubt, the courts of the United States are courts of limited jurisdiction, but not limited as" cognisance to "general class of cases."

"The meaning and extent of these terms is to be sought for, not in the common law, but in the civil law."

Now right here, this explains in this case, that the **civil law that we know of today is pure admiralty**. In admiralty there are **two sides: there's prize and civil**. The **prize is criminal in admiralty**. Once they bring in a **criminal** action, they revert to the **civil** side and everything falls under **Title 28**, because Title 28 is a **pure admiralty statute**, the whole title on that.

- OK.
- And that's what you're dealing with in the courts. And of course **all the courts** (federal district courts, then even the state courts) do have **maritime cognisance**, and **can rule on certain maritime**. But the states **can't** rule on **piracy on the high seas**, and so forth, that was brought up in the **Constitution**. So, that's what the **states** were **prohibited** from doing: the **international** part. So, basically that's what you've got when you go into the courts, and you're on the **civil** side: you know that you are in **admiralty**. And the *Unification Act of 1966* plainly stated that this is the case.
- OK.
- So, it's really **convoluted**. And of course the **Uniform Commercial Code** was the old **Negotiable Instrument** law, and it still rules **pari materia**, because that is tied to admiralty. The **admiralty and the UCC is one and the same**. It's just a **different vein**, that's all.

- One **last question**, and we'll go on to especially talking about what you wanted to address: and that's **the Templars of the Crown, and what the Crown really means**. But, we hear a lot now from the **patriot community** (or whatever you wanna call it) that talks about... especially when people want to defend themselves and they bring the **Constitution** in the courtroom, and we get time and time again at the judges get like apoplectic and say: **“Don't bring that in here!”** Right? **Is that a fact?**
 - **Yeah**, it's a fact. And the reason is, is because we're all dealing in **private law**, and the **Constitution** is really **not** made for man (the **common man**). It's made for the **law merchants**, operating as **government**. In other words, **the Constitution is a legal document**, there's no doubt about that. It's been written in the journals as a **legal document**, and it is couched in **legal terms**. And that's where a lot of people fall apart when it comes to terms and words, because a **term** is **not** a **word**. A **term** is a **word** that has been **massaged by the legislative body** to mean what it **wants** it to mean, and **not** what the **dictionary** says it means.
 - Ok, alright. Now moving into the parameters of what we did want to address, and as we said before: with whom the templars of the Crown... but the **“Crown”** means many things to many people so **which “Crown” are we talking about?**
 - OK that's true. Now, the **governmental** and the **judicial** systems within the **United States**, both **federal** and **state**, is **owned by the Crown**, now, which is a **private foreign power**. So, before we jump into conclusions like a lot of people do: the **Queen of England** and the **royal families** and, and so-on and so-forth... that's what they **think** is the Crown when you talk about the “Crown.”
 - OK.
 - It's, it's really **hard to grasp** for a lot of people that are **first exposed** to this. But, after you get involved in **doing your own research** and finally finding out what's happening, it starts to come into a **big jigsaw puzzle**, and you got **one little piece** of the puzzle. Where do you put it? In the center, on the edges, in the corners, **where?** You **don't** know where. And this is why it's going to take a **lot of dedication** to do the research, which we've done, to come up to this particular point. Now, on the *ATGpress* site: **James Montgomery** is another friend, and a **really good researcher**, and he has even **more research** into this British Crown and whatnot, than I had...
 - Let me hold you right there for a second, if I could I-Man. I'm on the *ATGPress.com* site. So, other people who are listening may be doing the same thing I'm doing. How could I **ask Montgomery?** I mean, I know they have **“The Informer”** down there, and we can read **your** stuff...
 - OK, it's the next one is **“Knowledge Is Freedom.” That's Montgomery's site.**
 - I got it. There it says, **“Knowledge Is Freedom, James Montgomery.”** Great.
 - Right. And so a lot of people would be really **chewing up Montgomery** when he put this out, **especially attorneys** (because there is **no** “lawyers” in this country, there's only “attorneys”). And of course they're going to **defend**, **“No”**, and being crafted out with **“We ain't Brits”** argument. Because right off the bat they're thinking, “The royal families and the King owns us.” Well that's **not** true.
- The “Crown” was known as the “Templar Church.”** And they're either the **“Crown Temple,”** the **“Crown Templar”**... they're **all synonymous**. And the Church was built by the **Knights Templar** in two parts: the **Round**, and the **chancel**. And the **Round** was concentrated in **1185**, and it was **modeled** after the **Church of the Holy Sepulchre** in Jerusalem.
- And what was the other one you said? The chancel?
 - Yeah, C-H-A-N-C-E-L.
 - OK.
 - And that was built in **1240**. And they have **middle** and **inner temples**. And it's located in **London**. And it houses the **Crown offices** of the Crown. Mainly, the church was **outside** any **canonical jurisdiction** of the **temple**. And the **master** of the temple is **appointed** and takes place by a **patent**, **without induction** or **institution**.
- Now all the **licensed bar attorneys** owe their **allegiance** to this **Crown temple**. But they **don't** realize it. You know, they're **dumbed-down** too. There's certain... when you get up into the **Supreme Court**, the **appellate courts**, yeah OK: these **judges know** because they were attorneys. Probably attorneys who **couldn't** make it on the outside, so they became the judge, you know.

- Ha ha ha. Ooh, ouch.
- **Yeah yeah**, that's about what it is.
- Right.
- It's sort of like, well, for a period of time I taught **auto-mechanics**. And it would always say: "Well, a **teacher** of mechanics is one that **couldn't make it** on the outside as a mechanic, so he went into **teaching**."
- Right.
- Sometimes that's **not** always the case, because I was doing the **mechanical work for like 40 years**, and then I decided: well I'd impart some of my stuff and teach adult classes (not kids) but **teach adult class at night school**, and I did that for **10 years**. And I would run into that.

But now, the **Bar Association** are all **signatories to this franchise: to the international Bar Association**. And it's **in** the Inns of court (I-N-N-S), **Inns of court of the Crown temple**. Now what is interesting is: all the Bar associations (American Bar, Florida Bar, California Bar) are all **franchises to the Crown**, alright? So right now, you know the **Crown isn't the King**. And the inns of court: there's **four inns of court**. The Crown temple used the **banking**, and the **judicial** system in the **City of London**. Now the *City of London* is just **like Washington DC**: it's **not part of England**, just like *Washington DC* is **not** actually part of the 50 states.

- Alright, let me hold you right there. And so therefore you can speak about **London**, and that's **not** a problem. That's a **city**, that's in England. **But** you talk about the **City of London**, and you're really talking about an **entity unto itself**, like the **District of Columbia**.
- **Exactly**.
- And can we also extrapolate and say the **reason** why the **District of Columbia** was set up as it was (and **not** as a state) will **reflect exactly** what took place with the **City of London**?
- **Right**.
- OK.
- Yeah, so now, what is **interesting** is: when I was doing some **research** here in the state, in **North Carolina**, OK... we were doing some research down in the **archives**. And after we got done in the archives, we went over into the **Secretary of State's office**. And we were looking up particular portions in **there** of what we were going through over in the **archives**. And we decided that we would try to find out if there was **anything** to what we found in the archives, on what we had researched (or I had researched, and **Montgomery**) on the "**Crown temple**", on the "**Inns of court**". Well it just so happened, we went over there and we got it from the **tax-analyst in the UCC section**.
 - And we pulled up "**The American Inns of Court Foundation**" in **Durham, NC**. And they give the address and telephone number. And this particular one was "contributions **are** deductible." And it was an association. And it gives the classification, and the filing status, and it was **non-profit**.
 - We found another one in **Greensboro, NC**: "**The American Inns of Court Foundation**" and it too was **non-profit**.
 - But then we got into the "**North Carolina Bar Association**," and it's a business league, and it was **for profit**. It was listed under **Section 501-C6**.
 - We found another one in **Cary, NC**, and it gives the judge they had boughten, it gives the asset amount, and these are all **group affiliations**, and they are **in business**.
 - There's another one in **Charlotte, NC**: "**The American Inns of Court**."
 - And there's "**The North Carolina Association of District Judges**": contributions are **not tax-deductible** and they're a **charitable** organization (that's what they hold them out to be). And they're a group affiliation, independent organization, or an independent auxiliary. And then of course they have, you know, went into a lot of them.
- I-Man?
- And that's when we found out the **tie** to the "**Inns of Court of England**", and to the "**Inns of Court in the United States**."

- I-Man?
- Well, actually in America, it should be “**America.**”
- I-Man, let me stop you for a second, because as you were saying this; lo and behold, here in **Florida** there is a “**Tampa Bay Inn of Court**” and it's **TBIOC**... you'll love this... TBIOC.brinkster.net, and on the home page it talks about the **English Inns of court** and how it all began in **1292**.
- **Right.**
- So folks out there, he's **not** blowing smoke. Go take a **look for yourself**. As he was speaking, I went ahead and I did a **search**. And lo and behold, just like you said, they come up. The first one there was innsforcourt.org, which is what you were talking about. And then if you keep on moving down... you're right: you get **state** and **regional** ones that will pop up.
- **Right.**
- Let me ask you this before we go on, just can you **hang on** to that thought for a second? I don't wanna make you lose that train of thought.
- OK.
- One: this does really go back to **Freemasonry** doesn't it?
- **Yeah.**
- **High-degree Freemasonry?**
- **Right.**
- OK. Second of all: I just want to share something with you. There is a **group** that's **not** very well known, yet it's been around for a **103 years**. Are you familiar with the “**Pilgrims Society**”?
- I've heard of it, that's all I have. I really **haven't** delved into it.
- Nothing for nothing, but when there's a book out there (I was gonna go to it before I spoke to you... I'm **not** gonna worry about it). If you go... when you go to **Amazon** and look for “**Pilgrim Society**”: there's a modern day, shall we say “**biography**” of it, obviously **authorized**. But in the back of it, I-Man, it talks about “through the years”... because it's one of these, another one of these, ‘**Anglo-American establishment**’ things...
- OK.
- ...that **Quigley doesn't** talk about. Though it **was** there, you know, for Quigley to speak to, and he **didn't**. However, when they get back to the meetings, through the **years** of all the luminaries who came, they talk about **what was spoken** about (like the **title** of the speech perhaps)...
- Right.
- ...but when it ever gets any of the **Inns of court** (like the “Middle Inn”, or something like that), it says **nothing**.
- **That's right.**
- And people can **go check it out for themselves**, if you want to get your hands on that book. But there is **nothing** ever divulged about the **meetings** of the **Pilgrim Society**, in any of the **Inns of courts**. Thanks so much for letting me get that in, and by all means go ahead.
- Yeah, OK. What it is, the **first Chancel of the Temple Church** was **built by the Knights Templar**, right? It's **not** new, it's been around for, before they did it. But the Chancery and the Crown inner temple court, was where **King John** was in January **1215**. That's when the **English barons demanded** that he **confirmed the rights enshrined** in the **Magna Carta**, OK?
- OK.
- Now this is really **tricky**: The **City of London Temple** was the **headquarters** for the **Knights Templar in Britain**, and where the **order** and the **rule** was **first** made. Now this is going to really **upset** a lot of people, but...

- Ha, ha. **That's why we got you on.**
- **The Vatican is involved in this big time.**
- Yup.
- Now, why the *Magna Carta* can be considered **crap**, and here's why: I'm gonna bring up a **particular case** I was in when I sued the company when I was fired. You **can't** use any of the **Constitution** and "**freedom of speech**" on a **private company**, in any court. they'll just **laugh you out** of the court. OK. But, I had brought up a particular part of the *Magna Carta* in the case. And I had **one attorney write** to the other attorney, and I happened to get a copy of it. And after the case... I **lost** the case (naturally)... and after that I had... was privy to a **document**, that was given to me by an attorney. He shouldn't have, he didn't realize what he was doing, but **I got it**. And one attorney said to the other "...and you were **worried** about the *Magna Carta*? This puts it back where it belongs: **in the scrap pile!**" That's the exact quote, and I can remember to this day, it goes back to **1987**.

And the reason why the **Magna Carta was overruled** is: First off, the **King** (now, we're talking about the **King** now, not the **Crown**) that the **King** was in a **crusade** (this was the Crusades' time), and they was **fighting** with the **Vatican**. In steps the **Crown**. **The Crown fronted both sides: they always do in any war**. And **that made both** the **King**, and the **Vatican**: the **Pope** (the Pope is a **figurehead** in the Vatican just like Bush is today)...

- Sure. Agreed.
- ...And so it's a **Vatican regime** that controls the **Pope**, and what is the **inner workings** that goes on. So, they had **fronted the money** to the **Vatican AND** the **King**, and therefore they **control** them. Now what happened was the **King couldn't sign** the document (which contained the *Magna Carta* on the right), because the **sword was held to his throat**. Well anybody today if you got a guy coming up to you with a **gun** and he points to at you says, "**Sign it!**" and you sign it, that's "**under duress,**" right?
- Right.
- Well, his throat and the sword of the **King was under duress**. And in **August the 24th of 1215**, the **Pope** issued a **papal bull** stating that the document signed by the **King** was a **fraud** because it was **under duress**. And he **denounced** it. And that's why... because he was **afraid to lose** his **600 pounds** that was guaranteed him in the signing of this. So, there is one instance... and **you** can find this, because **I've gotten this** from the **London library**. I **couldn't** go there myself personally because they **wouldn't allow me in**, but I had a **professor from Clemson University** that went over, and pulled a lot of these documents for me, and asked me what I wanted ('cause he was going over there). When he got there, he came back, and I got the **original copy** of the *Treaty of Peace* and also he was **shocked** when he found there were **contracts and treaties and agreements** made in **455 AD** that are **still alive and working today** in the **modern world**.

Now just **think**: if a treaty or a document goes back to 455 AD, still has ruling concepts on today's world, there's **a lot of other stuff** that people have **no idea** exists, and why they are being **controlled** the way they are. And if they **don't** have this **foundation**, they're forever **floundering** around, because they **can't** hit the head of the nail with the hammer. It's just **all over** the spot.

And so, I mentioned [Charles Warren's "A History of the American Bar"](#). If anybody **really** wants to get into some research, this is one of the books that **I would suggest getting**.

- Now, you may say "**What authority has the Crown usurped the national sovereignty of the American people?**" Well it's **acceptable** that the **US court decides Constitutional issues in the US**. Now, **can it** be considered being "**Constitutional**" when the Supreme Court is **appointed** by, and **paid** by, the people who **front the federal government**: the **Crown**? **They all operate under the Crown**.

I mean, if you go back into history, you'll notice that **bills of credit** were **not** supposed to be used. But yet, **every federal judge** is being **paid** by a **bill of credit**. Now **who issues** the bill of credit? Well, everybody says, "Well, the United States government pays." **Uh-uh**. The United States government might **issue** out of the department a bill of credit: a **check**. You go to, and cash that, **who pays it?**

- Well, wouldn't it go back to the **Crown**?
- That's **right: right back to the Crown**. And the Crown, as people should know, are the **international bankers**. Now there are in this system, the **private Federal Reserve**. The private Federal Reserve was the **actual exchequer of**

England. Now, it's not exchequer like... well let me see... let me put it to you this way: If I form a **bank myself**, and in a **country** that **never had a bank**, and what would you **call it**?

- The “**First.**”
- The “First”, right?
- Um-hmm.
- So alright: the “**First Bank of America**”, or the “**First Bank of the United States**”, was the **private concern of the Crown**. The **Crown Templar** came in, and instituted The First Bank of the United States. It **wasn't** the government that instituted it. It was **the Crown that was the initiator**, and under the **blessings of Congress**, allowed the first bank to come in.

OK, now **today's private Federal Reserve** is an **offshoot** of that bank. It's the **same thing**, just the Federal Reserve. And in there you have the [International Monetary Fund](#), the [World Trade Organization](#), and the [Bank for International Settlements](#).


- Right.
- Alright now, **even Hitler respected the Crown bankers** because that's where he **got his money from**. And they were from **Switzerland**. The **Bank for International Settlements** in Basel, Switzerland, **controls** all the **central banks** of the **G7 Nation**. And right there **goes back to where the Crown and the Vatican was fighting**, and the International Bank “who controls the gold rules the world”: everybody knows that.

So, a lot of your **listeners** are, gonna be **rolling around, scratching their head**, and **trying to think**, “**How** am I going to take this and **put it all together?**” Well, I **can't** do it justice in a **one** hour show, I **couldn't** do it justice in a **ten** hour show. This is **years and years of work** to get this.

- But, may I ask you something at this point: Is it not true that the **Vatican** eventually, with the banksters, eventually “**made nice**”?
- Made: what?
- Well, they they finally **put their differences behind them?**
- Oh **yeah**. There was... that's what it is. You had the **Vatican cabal**, with the bank, OK. And the tenants of the **Church**, of the **Catholic Church** (“Catholic” meaning “universal”), is that no man can own the land, because the Pope supposedly... and what I liked about the **1828 dictionary (Webster's first dictionary)** is he come out and called the Pope “**a fraud**” because he **claimed** to be the “**vicar of Christ**”...

- Right.
- ...when **no one** really can be the vicar for Christ, he just **appointed himself** as the “vicar of Christ.”
- Right.
- And so that's why... **Christ went after the attorneys**, and you know “**Woe be unto you lawyers**”, so on and so forth. A good book to get is, that **Rodell**, who was a **judge**, and I can't remember... I got his book, but I don't have it at hand to give you the date. But it's fairly modern, and you might even be able to pick it up on the on the Internet. I think his name is **Frank Rodell**.

- Do you have a title for that?
- “[Woe Unto You, Lawyers](#)”
- Oh, beautiful.
- And, ha ha.
- “Brood of vipers” yes.
- **Yeah** yeah, uh-huh.



- Hold on a second though, but you know I've been **remiss** in doing this. You do have your **your information** on “[Against The Grain.](#)”
- And the other thing is though, you do have some **things that are up for sale**. Can we **talk about them** right now?
- Well, the **only thing** that's there is, the **one... two books...**
 - one is “*A New History of America*”
 - and the other is... “*Which One Are You?*” It's a book where has been **knocked** by government (like all the other books) because the book title asked the question “*Which One Are You?*” It **doesn't** tell you which one you are. It doesn't say “Well you're this, and you're that” and so on, and so forth. **You** have to make **your own determination** and... but it's got all the info in there.
- And you do have, on that website, the **books listed...** you do really seem to have **more than two**: You have “*Which One Are You?*”, then you have “*Volume 1*” and “*1A Response*”...
- Yeah, well, they were the **off-shoots**: some of the offshoots of the first book which was printed in **1991/1990**. And then the other one was in **1996**, when even **more information** came out. And so those are the **two main books**. The **other ones** are **small blurbs** and **booklet forms**, and stuff like that, yeah.

Informer Archives Informer Montgomery Tax Series Books ⚙️

Books by The Informer

By Order of Importance	Prices		Prices
[1] The MYTH and The REALITY who owns UNITED STATES corp	55.00	[14] Admiralty and where you least expect it	6.00
[2] The New History of America – Addendum to <i>New History of America</i> include in price	45.00	[15] Admiralty - Why? – Addendum to 14 above	4.00
[3] The Big Lie III	68.00	Total for all books 420.00	
[4] Which One Are You? – The definitive guide to understanding the IRC as it relates to you	68.00	Buy all books and get free shipping	
[5] Vol. I and I-A – Response to <i>Which One Are You?</i>	22.00	Books can be bought separately. Please add \$6.00 for shipping & handling for individual books. Add \$1 for each additional book. No overseas orders or shipping. US only	
[6] Vol. II – Response to <i>Which One Are You?</i>	20.00	All payments via cash or US POSTAL MONEY	
[7] Would You Like the Constitution as a Source of Rights?	15.00	ORDER only, left COMPLETELY BLANK.	
[8] The Big Lie	15.00	<u>All other money orders or checks will be returned.</u>	
[9] Works of the Informer	10.00	Please include return name and address for shipping. Please make it legible.	
[10] Reality	8.00	Mail to:	
New Books Compliment Book #1 on Importance		A bar C Informer	
[11] The Little Book – How to get out of being a person / CITIZEN using their statute	24.00	7055 Mountain Rd	
[12] Terms Not Words are used in law CITIZEN using their statute	45.00	Oxford, North Carolina 27565	
[13] Why do you want to be a U.S. National After reading this, do you?	15.00	Email is for order questions only:	
		informerbooks@yahoo.com	

- And folks when you do go there, there are **stringent rules** as to what I-Man wants with regards to **remuneration**, and please **follow** what he asked you to do.

Now there's one other thing I wanted to ask you, and be frank: we **didn't** talk about this before. But if people wanted to **contact you by email** (I know that it was a bit for me to get that): would you want people emailing **you**, or would you rather have them send it **through me**, and I'll pass it along to you? If you wish to field emails **at all**. How do you feel about that? And be **honest**.

- I can **use you as a front**, because I get **inundated** with so much emails that this morning when I opened it up... I **didn't** have **any** emails **last night** at 1:00 o'clock when I closed down. When I woke up this **morning** I had **282** emails, and about **30%** of them was the **garbage** mails: you know that... you know all the sex stuff, and the porn stuff, and the bank stuff, and everybody.
- It's a **good** group to be involved with: the porn, yeah.
- Maybe if I made the book into a porn book, I'd sell **more** of them.
- Well, it **kind of** is 'pornography', is just that it's **legalized**.
- **Right, right.**
- Alright, so let let people pass it through me at visigoth@hotmail.com we'll deal with that. And we're about 13 minutes / 12 minutes left in this, and the thing is: I want to **bring you back** to something. And let me do that with this question, speaking about **Switzerland**, where the **Bank for International Settlements** was... is... **is it still there located in Switzerland?**
- **Oh yes, yes, yes.**
- OK.
- In fact, **Gonzalas** (**not** the president... **not** the Attorney General) but Gonzalez was on the **Banking Commission**, and we had **talked to him**.
- Is that **Henry from Texas?**
- **Right, right.**
- OK.
- OK, yeah. And he was talking about "the big boys" and it was on one of the shows (like *60 Minutes*), and he mentioned about "**You don't mess with the Big Boys**", meaning: when he was talking, even the United States government **doesn't** mess with the "Big Boys". Because, you know: **they control the United States. That's it.**
- And that would be the **bankers**.
- **That's right.** These are **international bankers**.
- Do we also assume that the **reason** why **Switzerland** has been so **wonderfully untouched** and **neutral** through all the wars that have **ravaged, ravaged Europe** is because: **that's where everybody keeps their change?**
- That's right, yeah. And what was interesting too, you know, during the war: **IG Farben** was a company in **Germany**.
- Exactly.
- And I had my **uncle**, who was a B-17 bomber pilot, and I now am... he's since died. But there's another World War Two **bomber pilot**, who flew B-17's, and he lives here in North Carolina, and I'm conversing with him. And he visits me every once in awhile, and tells me a lot of stories. And he was saying that they had a bomb-run, and they could **not** bomb IG Farben. IG Farben Corporation **only lost 15%** of **its property** by bombing. And the reason why is because it was a **front** for the **international bankers** in **Germany**, at the time. And even America (the United States government) actually knew it, and informed their pilots "**Don't** bomb IG Farben." In fact, my uncle when he was alive, he told me, he says, "You know," (after they went back, he talked to a lot of German people that worked there), and he says, "You know, **we would sit out on our lawn and we would watch you go over while we're eating our lunch.** We knew that you **weren't** gonna bomb us."





- I-Man if I could: I **don't** think I've talked about this on the air. Can I tell you a **short anecdote** that **resonates** with what you've said?
- **Sure.**
- Thank you. I guess you have to say "yes" but yeah.
- You run the show.
- Real quick 'cause, I mean, you know: I just told you the other day I watched **Catch 22**, and what it was doing, it was telling us right out loud: **the corporations wag the whole war**. And this is in a movie in 1970, which as you said, you know: I was young, chasing skirts, watched the movie, and **didn't** get it. You know what I mean? But here's what I want to tell you: I was in... I was **working sales in DC** back in 1977. And a guy **corners** me, and he was in the army, in World War 2. He asked me what I thought about Vietnam. And I **didn't** want to get in that kind of conversation. I said, "Look, I'm only going to tell you: **I really don't understand what it was all about**. I was there because I had a 1-S, and I **never** went." He went on to **regale me** about just what you said: that when he was in the trenches with the other grunts, they were getting **teased by radio**... like people don't think that **Tokyo Rose** didn't happen with the Germans too, you know what I mean?



- Oh yeah.
- Oh yeah, they went after each other. And so they were **taunting** the Americans, and: **"You don't know where these places are**, because if you did: you'd **bomb** them." And it went back-and-forth. Well, one day what happened was: the word went down the trenches that indeed the American Air Force went up (**Army Air Force**) and **dumped garbage** on the plants, to let them know, **"Yes, you SOB's, we know where you are, but we cannot destroy you."** And that gentleman looked at me, and he said, "From that point onward," he said, "we **don't care who wins this damn war**. It's about us **getting back alive**."
- **Yes.**
- So, excellent that you brought that up. I'm glad you did, because that is the way things are.
- Well, that's why the war in **Vietnam** was fought over **rubber**. It was Firestone that was gonna be **hurt**, because of the synthetic rubber, and the real rubber... you need real rubber, even in synthetic tires.
- **Right.**
- And that's what that was **actually fought over**. The **French** fought over for years, and they told the United States, **"Don't get involved."** **Of course, we got involved**. And that's why, in World War One... **the reason why we entered World War One**... And this is coming from the research that I had done sitting in the library for hours and hours: one thing leads to another, and you'll find all these offshoots, how they tie in to corporations, and the banking system. **Rockefeller** had Standard Oil, and owned Standard Oil. And he had **oil wells in Saudi Arabia**, and he had contracts with them. During World War 1, **Germany would not allow him to go through Europe, through Germany**, to get to Saudi Arabia. And that's why **Rockefeller had instituted, actually instituted, the First World War**: because of that oil that he had been denied by going through Germany, so that's when **he got the United States**.
- And let's remember too that he was behind the **Bolshevik revolution**, along with **Jacob Schiff**.
- Not only that, but that's why in the, in the research that I found, that in 1933 when **Roosevelt** himself (who's a buddy of Rockefeller), he **wanted to start war with Japan** in 1933. But they told him, **"No, hold off."** The reason why is because Rockefeller had the oil reserves in China, and he had **contracts with the oil reserves in China**. And he had a lot of oil wells in there. And he was afraid **Japan** would go in and confiscate those oil wells in China. And he didn't want that. Anyway, **Stimson (who was the Secretary of State)** had **convinced Roosevelt to hold off**. And then when the infamous attack on **Pearl Harbor**, which **Roosevelt knew** about, and **Stimson knew** about, because he was over there in China, in Japan, at the day that the planes were coming towards Pearl, and they were notified you know before, **the day before**. **They allowed that to happen**, to get the American people so **incensed** that they would allow Roosevelt to declare war on Japan, **unknowingly** amongst the American people, to **protect the oil interests that Rockefeller had**.

- I-Man, there's a book called, have you read it: [The Final Secret of Pearl Harbor](#) by retired [Rear Admiral Theobald](#).
- **No, I haven't.**
- All right, if you get a chance, you get your hands on it, I think it will just... you'll understand why it's a gem. *The Final Secret of Pearl Harbor* by Rear Admiral Robert Theobald, written in **1954**. It says exactly what you stated: Theobald knew that Stimson (Henry Stimson), and Roosevelt, and **the whole cabinet knew what was going to happen**.
- **Yeah**, they did.
- Right, and it was... and in this case too: was there not another thing... and of course [Smedley Butler](#): have you ever read that: *War Is A Racket?* [[35p pamphlet](#) & [84p book](#) & [221p book](#)]
- **No.**
- Butler says quite off, he says quite frankly... I mean this is a guy that was a **Brigadier General in the Marines...** and he said, **"Yeah, I made life safe for us: for Standard Oil in China."** And that's a statement and a half.
- Yeah.
- You can read that online: *War Is A Racket*. But, the other thing about Theobald's book: yeah, **he said that they all knew it**. And what I love is the syntax they used, the fact that **"The cabinet appreciate that there will be a major loss of life."**
- Right.
- Thanks, guys. Now didn't this also, I-Man, get us **backhandedly into a war with Germany** because of a [Tripartite Agreement](#) that had been made between **Italy, Japan and Germany?**
- **Yeah, that's exactly right.**
- You know...
- When you mentioned about that book, another book (that I **doubt** anybody is gonna be able to get... unless you go to an old book store, that might be able to get old books) it's called [The Federal Reserve Conspiracy and Rockefeller's: Their Gold Corner](#) by **Emanuel M. Josephson**. And it was issued by the **Chedney Press**.



- Spell "Chedney" please.
- It's C-H-E-D-N-E-Y.
- The reason I say that is because, having worked for a **university library** with which I still have **good** contacts: never never **never ever underestimate** the power of **interlibrary loan**.
- Right.
- And that's how **I got a lot of books**. So I'm gonna run that one through, and get my hands on it, and follow your instructions.
- OK, this is **1968**, and it has a [Library of Congress card# 68-29455](#).
- Alright. **Excellent. Very good.**

We only have a **couple of minutes left**, and I tell you: this, this has been... really an **invaluable...** geez... amount of time. And I know we haven't scratched things at all, I mean: the surface of things. But I'll tell you what: still in all, this kind of information is what people really need. Those who listen to this show, are only going to hear this and be confirmed, reaffirmed, or whatever. Those who **don't** know, can either take a look... well this is a

starting point. The thing is: if we don't do it, I-Man, you never know what's gonna happen. We can do it: it can all fall upon **deaf** ears. But, that's the way it goes, is it not?

- Yes, because I had wrote up a little thing for this first show, which is 17 pages...
- Ha ha...
- Believe it or not: I am down to page 4.
- Ha ha ha...
- And, and you know: there's so much that people have to understand about first: the **foundation**. Without a foundation you **can't** do anything. It's like when people go into '**fighting the IRS**', they start on the 4th floor, and they work on up to the 12th or 13th floor, and they **lose**. But, had they gone back at the foundation, and looked at the foundation, they might not have lost. But the **problem** is: **nobody** starts at the foundation, **nobody wants to go back to old tiny law**, "Oh that's **old** history, that's **ancient** history. What has that got to do with **today's problem**?"
- Right.
- Just like when you're in high school, and you go back, and you look at **Shakespeare** and all those things, and they try to teach you this... "What's that got to do with **modern living**?" you say. Well, in certain instances, yeah it really **doesn't** mean anything. But when you go into the history of law, **you're gonna have to go way back**. I mean: 100 years is **nothin'**. In fact, if you go to your cases today, they call "**ancient history**": **anything older than 20 years**. So, think about that. 20 years is considered "ancient history" in law. But, we're going back **centuries**.
- Alright, let's **hold it right there**. I tell ya, that's a **good place to stop**. And if we, if you don't mind: let's pick it up at another time which we will **agree** on, where we can we can pick it up right from the point which we stopped.

Once again, this is "The Informer". You can go to ATGPress.com to find all his writings. And listen: we **thank you very much** for coming on with us, and we you **please do it again**. And I hope you felt **edified** by it, because you're **not wasting** your time.

- Yeah, **we'll see** what happens. You know, **not many** people can come out of their **cognitive dissonance**, and start learning.
- Well you **challenge** them, so just **get ready**.
- OK, I will.
- **God bless you**, and **we'll talk again**.
- OK, bye bye.
- Bye bye.